

In: KSC-BC-2020-04

Before: Pre-Trial Judge

Judge Nicolas Guillou

Registrar: Dr Fidelma Donlon

Date: 12 June 2020

Language: English

Classification: Public

Public Redacted Version of the

Decision Authorising Search and Seizure

Specialist Prosecutor

Counsel for the Accused

Jack Smith Jean-Louis Gilissen

Date public redacted version: 06/05/2021 10:35:00

THE PRE-TRIAL JUDGE,¹ pursuant to Articles 39(3), 53, 54 and 55 of Law No. 05/L-053 on Specialist Chambers and Specialist Prosecutor's Office ("Law") and Rules 31, 32, 33, 37, 39, and 208(1) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence Before the Kosovo

Specialist Chamber ("Rules"), hereby renders the following decision.

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

1. On 14 February 2020, the Specialist Prosecutor's Office ("SPO") submitted for

confirmation a strictly confidential and ex parte indictment together with evidentiary

material in support of the factual allegations and a detailed outline linking each item

of evidentiary material to each allegation.²

2. On 28 February 2020, the Pre-Trial Judge ordered the SPO to file, inter alia, a revised

indictment by 18 March 2020.3

3. On 18 March 2020, the SPO submitted a revised indictment for confirmation

("Revised Indictment").4

4. On 26 May 2020, the Pre-Trial Judge issued an order setting a target date for the

issuance of the decision reviewing the Revised Indictment.⁵

¹ KSC-BC-2020-04, F00001, President, Decision Assigning a Pre-Trial Judge, 14 February 2020, public.

² KSC-BC-2020-04, F00002, Specialist Prosecutor, Submission of Indictment for Confirmation and Related Requests ("Initial Submission"), 14 February 2020, strictly confidential and ex parte, with Annexes 1-3,

strictly confidential and ex parte.

³ KSC-BC-2020-04, F00003, Pre-Trial Judge, *Order to the Specialist Prosecutor Pursuant to Rule 86*(4) *of the Rules*, 28 February 2020, strictly confidential and *ex parte*.

Rules, 20 rebruary 2020, strictly confidential and ex purie.

⁴ KSC-BC-2020-04, F00004, Specialist Prosecutor, *Submission of Revised Indictment for Confirmation and Related Requests* ("Second Submission"), 18 March 2020, strictly confidential and *ex parte* with Annexes 1-3, strictly confidential and *ex parte*.

⁵ KSC-BC-2020-04, F00005, Pre-Trial Judge, *Order Setting Target Date for a Decision Pursuant to Article* 39(2), 26 May 2020, strictly confidential and *ex parte*.

KSC-BC-2020-04 1 12 June 2020

5. On 12 June 2020, the Pre-Trial Judge issued a decision confirming the Revised

Indictment ("Confirmation Decision")⁶ and issued an arrest warrant for Pjetër Shala

("Mr Shala").7

II. SUBMISSIONS

6. Along with confirmation of the Revised Indictment, the SPO requests, inter alia, that

the Pre-Trial Judge authorise its request for search and seizure.8 The SPO requests that

the Pre-Trial Judge authorise the authorities executing the arrest warrant to, in the

presence of an SPO representative: (i) search the person of Mr Shala, location of arrest,

and Mr Shala's residence; and (ii) seize any evidence that is believed to have been used

in, connected with or may be evidence relevant to the charged crimes ("Requested

Search and Seizure").9 In particular, the SPO seeks "any evidence relevant to

Mr Shala's activities between 1998 and 1999, relationship or interactions with the

victims or alleged accomplices, and/or the Kosovo Liberation Army ("KLA"), and/or

Provisional Government of Kosovo ("PGoK"), or members thereof". 10 The SPO

indicates that the objects to be seized may include the following: records of contacts

or meetings, diaries, logbooks, decisions, photographs, video and audio recordings,

orders, instructions, directives, reports, policies, protocols or regulations, as well as

computers, telephones and other devices that reasonably could be expected to contain

relevant evidence.11

7. The SPO submits that there is a grounded suspicion that Mr Shala has committed

crimes within the Specialist Chambers' jurisdiction as well as a grounded suspicion

⁶ KSC-BC-2020-04, F00007, Pre-Trial Judge, *Decision on the Confirmation of the Indictment Against Pjetër Shala*, 12 June 2020, strictly confidential and *ex parte*.

⁷ KSC-BC-2020-04, F00008, Pre-Trial Judge, *Decision on Request for Arrest Warrant and Transfer Order*, 12 June 2020, strictly confidential and *ex parte*.

⁸ The SPO incorporates by reference its submissions made with respect to these requests in its Initial Submission, Sections B(4)-(5), *see* Second Submission, paras 7, 24.

⁹ Initial Submission, para. 12.

¹⁰ Initial Submission, para. 12.

¹¹ Initial Submission, para. 12.

KSC-BC-2020-04 2 12 June 2020

Date public redacted version: 06/05/2021 10:35:00

that he may have relevant evidence on his person, at the location of his arrest or at his

residence.12

8. The SPO further submits that, in light of the risks of interference and obstruction, ¹³

any evidence in Mr Shala's possession may not otherwise be obtained and the

requested search and seizure may be the only means of obtaining the evidence for the

purposes of the investigation.¹⁴

9. The SPO submits that the resulting interference with Mr Shala's privacy and

property rights is proportionate to the legitimate aim of the investigation and does not

negate the essence of those rights.¹⁵

10. The SPO requests authorisation for an SPO representative to be present for the

Requested Search and Seizure.¹⁶

11. Finally, the SPO requests that retention of any evidence seized be authorised for

the time necessary to review the evidence, and if the evidence is deemed relevant,

authorisation be given for such further period as necessary for investigations and

proceedings.¹⁷

III. APPLICABLE LAW

12. Pursuant to Rules 31 and 37 of the Rules, the search of a person, their property, or

other locations or objects as well as the seizure of any evidence therefrom may be

authorised if:

¹² Initial Submission, para. 13.

¹³ Initial Submission, paras 8-11.

¹⁴ Initial Submission, para. 13.

¹⁵ Initial Submission, para. 14.

¹⁶ Initial Submission, para. 12, fn. 21-22.

¹⁷ Initial Submission, para. 15.

KSC-BC-2020-04 3 12 June 2020

(a) There is a reasonable expectation of privacy with respect to the places to be searched and items to be seized;¹⁸

(b) In relation to searches of places and objects, there is grounded suspicion that (i) a person has committed, is committing or is about to commit a crime within the jurisdiction of the Specialist Chambers; and (ii) the search will result, *inter alia*, in the discovery and seizure of evidence necessary for the investigation. In relation to the search of a person, there is grounded suspicion that the search will result in the discovery of evidence of a crime within the jurisdiction of the Specialist Chambers and seizure thereof; ²⁰

(c) The search is necessary for the investigation;²¹

(d) The investigative measure is unavoidable, i.e. in the specific circumstances the evidence may not be otherwise obtained and the requested search and seizure appears to be the only effective means for the purposes of the investigation;²² and

(e) The resulting interference with the person's right to personal integrity, privacy or property is proportionate to the legitimate aim of the investigation and does not negate the essence of the guaranteed right.²³

13. According to Rule 37(4) of the Rules, any decision authorising search and seizure shall include: (a) the time, duration and scope of its execution, including an indication

_

¹⁸ Rule 37(2) of the Rules. *See also*, KSC-CC-PR-2017-03, F00006, Constitutional Court Chamber, *Judgment on the Referral of Revised Rules of Procedure and Evidence Adopted by Plenary on 29 May 2017 to the Specialist Chamber of the Constitutional Court Pursuant to Article 19(5) of Law no. 05/L-053 on Specialist Chambers and Specialist Prosecutor's Office ("KSC Constitutional Court Chamber 28 June 2017 Judgment"), 28 June 2017, para. 69.*

¹⁹ Rule 37(2)(a) of the Rules.

²⁰ Rule 37(2)(a) and (3) of the Rules.

²¹ Rule 31(1)(b) of the Rules.

²² Rule 37(1) of the Rules. *See also*, KSC Constitutional Court Chamber 28 June 2017 Judgment, paras 62-67

 $^{^{23}}$ Rule 31(1)(c) of the Rules.

Date public redacted version: 06/05/2021 10:35:00

of the person or property, location, premises or object in relation to which the measure

is authorised, and (b) the procedure for reporting on its implementation and the

seized material in accordance with Rule 31(2) of the Rules.

14. Pursuant to Rule 39(1) and (2) of the Rules, the search and seizure must be

executed in the presence of the person concerned, unless he or she cannot be found or

refuses to attend the search, and if the delay in execution would jeopardise the

investigation or the safety or property of a witness, victim or other person at risk. The

Specialist Prosecutor must also: (a) provide the person concerned with the decision

authorising the search and seizure; (b) inform the person of his or her rights; (c) ensure

the presence of counsel, unless the person waives this right or counsel's presence

cannot reasonably be awaited; and (d) ensure the presence of an independent observer

to the search and seizure.

15. Pursuant to Rule 32(1) of the Rules, any material seized shall be appropriately

retained, stored and protected. The Panel authorising such seizure shall indicate:

(a) the procedure and precautions for the storage, protection, and transfer of the seized

material; (b) the duration of the retention of the seized material; and (c) instructions

and a timeline for the return or destruction of the seized material.

16. In accordance with Article 55 of the Law and Rule 208(1) of the Rules, the Pre-Trial

Judge may request the cooperation and assistance of a Third State as is necessary for

the investigation and prosecution of persons accused of having committed crimes

within the jurisdiction of the Specialist Chambers.

17. Article 35(3) of the Law provides that the police within the SPO has the authority

and responsibility to exercise powers given to Kosovo Police under Kosovo law.

Pursuant to Article 53(1) of the Law, all entities and persons in Kosovo shall comply

without undue delay with any request for assistance, order or decision issued by the

Specialist Chambers. Pursuant to Rule 202(2) of the Rules, the Pre-Trial Judge may

impose any conditions deemed necessary, including relating to confidentiality and

Date public redacted version: 06/05/2021 10:35:00

protective measures. Any such order shall be complied with without undue delay,

pursuant to Article 53(1) of the Law and Rule 202(3) of the Rules.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. REQUIREMENTS OF SEARCH AND SEIZURE

18. The Requested Search and Seizure involves a search of Mr Shala's person, location

of arrest, and residence. As search of one's residence or property is the traditional

ambit of privacy concerns, the Pre-Trial Judge therefore finds that such places, and the

items potentially seized therein, give rise to a reasonable expectation of privacy. By

the same token, while the location of arrest is not known at this point in time, the place

of arrest may entail a reasonable expectation of privacy.²⁴ Accordingly, the protections

set out in the Law and Rules shall apply.

19. For the purposes of the search of Mr Shala's property, residence or the location of

arrest, and related seizure of items, the Pre-Trial Judge recalls his findings in the

Confirmation Decision that there is a well-grounded suspicion that Mr Shala

committed crimes within the jurisdiction of the Specialist Chambers.²⁵ Furthermore,

the Pre-Trial Judge finds that, in light of the above, there is a grounded suspicion that

the Requested Search and Seizure regarding the aforementioned places and items may

result in evidence necessary for the investigation. In addition, the Pre-Trial Judge finds

that there is grounded suspicion that such evidence may be found on Mr Shala's

location of arrest or residence. For the purposes of the search of Mr Shala, since he is

alleged to have committed crimes within the jurisdiction of the Specialist Chambers,

the Pre-Trial Judge finds grounded suspicion that the search may result in the

discovery and seizure of evidence related to such crimes. In light of the foregoing, the

²⁴ See, KSC Constitutional Court Chamber 28 June 2017 Judgment, para. 69.

²⁵ Confirmation Decision, paras 114, 120, 124, 128.

KSC-BC-2020-04 6 12 June 2020

Date public redacted version: 06/05/2021 10:35:00

Pre-Trial Judge finds that there is grounded suspicion warranting the Requested

Search and Seizure.

20. Moreover, given that Mr Shala's residence or location of arrest may contain

relevant information that relates to the confirmed charges, the Pre-Trial Judge finds

that the Requested Search and Seizure is necessary for the SPO investigations.

Likewise, the search of Mr Shala is necessary because, in the context of his arrest or

search of his premises, he may attempt to hide on his person evidence related to the

confirmed charges. In light of the foregoing, the Pre-Trial Judge finds that the

Requested Search and Seizure is necessary for the purposes of the investigation into

the crimes allegedly committed by Mr Shala, and any future proceedings.

21. As concerns the requirement of unavoidability, the Pre-Trial Judge notes that

Mr Shala [REDACTED].²⁶ It is reasonable to believe that his willingness to obstruct

proceedings [REDACTED] is demonstrative of a willingness to destroy any other

evidence. Accordingly, the Pre-Trial Judge finds that any evidence in Mr Shala's

possession may not otherwise be obtained and the Requested Search and Seizure in

the context of his arrest may be the only effective means of obtaining the evidence.

22. Finally, regarding the proportionality of the resulting interference with Mr Shala's

rights vis-à-vis the legitimate aim of the investigation, the Pre-Trial Judge may

consider, inter alia, the gravity of the confirmed charges,²⁷ the duration and scope of

the requested measures, and any safeguards to be implemented.²⁸ The legitimate aim

of the investigation is the prosecution of Mr Shala for the crimes charged. With regard

to the safeguards to be implemented, the Pre-Trial Judge takes note of the fact that, as

enshrined in Rules 31-33 and 39 of the Rules, the SPO is duty-bound to take

appropriate measures with respect to the rights to personal integrity, privacy or

²⁶ Initial Submission, paras 8-11; KSC-BC-2020-04, F00002/A03, Annex 3 to Submission of Indictment for confirmation and related requests [REDACTED].

²⁷ The charges involve the war crimes of arbitrary detention, cruel treatment, torture and murder in violation of Article 14(1)(c) of the Law.

²⁸ KSC Constitutional Court Chamber 28 June 2017 Judgment, para. 64.

Date public redacted version: 06/05/2021 10:35:00

property. Equally important is the SPO assurance that it will provide the necessary

information and instructions to the authorities executing the Requested Search and

Seizure or, where applicable, it will itself tailor such execution, to ensure that it is

conducted in a manner that excludes information of no foreseeable relevance.²⁹

Accordingly, to the extent that its execution abides by the conditions set forth in the

Rules and this decision, the Pre-Trial Judge finds that the Requested Search and

Seizure is proportionate to the legitimate aim of the investigation.

23. Having found that the aforementioned conditions have been met, the Pre-Trial

Judge authorises the Requested Search and Seizure as it complies with the

requirements of Rules 31 and 37 of the Rules.

B. TIME, DURATION, AND SCOPE OF THE SEARCH AND SEIZURE

24. The SPO does not indicate the timeframe for the execution of the search of

Mr Shala, his residence or location of arrest and the related seizure of items.

Nonetheless, it is clear from the Initial Submission that the Requested Search and

Seizure is to take place in the context of Mr Shala's arrest. Balancing, on the one hand,

the time-sensitive nature of the investigative acts and time necessary for the relevant

authorities and the SPO to organise the Requested Search and Seizure, and, on the

other hand, Mr Shala's reasonable expectation of privacy as well as the need for

judicial oversight of the execution of these measures, the Pre-Trial Judge finds that

14 days from Mr Shala's arrest are sufficient for the execution of the Requested Search

and Seizure.

25. The scope of Requested Search and Seizure must be limited to any evidence that

is believed to have been used in, be connected with or be relevant to the confirmed

charges. In particular, the Pre-Trial Judge finds that the scope of the Requested Search

and Seizure must be limited to any evidence relevant to Mr Shala's activities between

²⁹ Initial Submission, para. 14.

KSC-BC-2020-04 8 12 June 2020

Date public redacted version: 06/05/2021 10:35:00

1998 and 1999, relationship or interactions with the victims or alleged accomplices, the

KLA or PGoK, or members thereof. Items to be seized may include: records of contacts

or meetings, diaries, logbooks, decisions, photographs, video and audio recordings,

orders, instructions, directives, reports, policies, protocols or regulations, as well as

computers, telephones and other devices that reasonably could be expected to contain

relevant evidence.

C. REPORTING ON THE SEARCH AND SEIZURE

26. In accordance with Rules 31(2) and 37(4)(b) of the Rules, the SPO is required to

report on the execution of the requested measures. Taking in consideration the time

needed to prepare a report following the execution of the requested measure, the SPO

must submit a report within 14 days of the completion of the Requested Search and

Seizure or within 14 days of the lapse of the 14-day timeframe provided for the

execution of the Requested Search and Seizure, whichever is earlier. The report shall

indicate (i) the date, time, duration, location, scope, and circumstances of the search

and seizure and (ii) the fulfilment of the requirements under Rule 39 of the Rules.

D. RETENTION, STORAGE, AND PROTECTION OF SEIZED MATERIAL

27. The Pre-Trial Judge considers it necessary for the SPO to store, protect, and

transfer the seized material, in accordance with the standard chain of custody

procedures. In so doing, the SPO must take appropriate measures to protect the seized

material against loss, accidental or unauthorized access, alteration, dissemination or

destruction. The SPO shall inform the Pre-Trial Judge on the appropriate procedure

and precautions for the storage and protection of the material seized in a report.

28. The Pre-Trial Judge finds that a four-month timeframe from the execution of the

Requested Search and Seizure is sufficient for the review of the relevance of the seized

material. If such material is deemed relevant to the investigation or future proceedings

KSC-BC-2020-04 9 12 June 2020

Date public redacted version: 06/05/2021 10:35:00

related to the confirmed charges, the SPO may, in accordance with Rule 33(1)(b) of the

Rules, retain the material until it is no longer relevant for the purpose for which it was

obtained. The seized material will then have to be returned or destroyed pursuant to

Rule 33(2)-(3) of the Rules. If the seized material falls outside the scope of the

investigation for which it was obtained, and is not relevant for the investigation of any

other crime under SC jurisdiction, then the seized material must be returned or

destroyed immediately, in accordance with Rule 33(1)(a)(i) and (2)-(3) of the Rules.

EXECUTION AND SERVICE OF THE REQUESTED ORDER

29. If the execution of the Requested Search and Seizure or any part thereof is to take

place in a Third State, the Pre-Trial Judge requests, pursuant to Article 55 of the Law

and Rule 208(1) of the Rules, the competent authorities to serve and execute the

present decision in the presence of representatives of the SPO.

30. If the execution of the Requested Search and Seizure or any part thereof is to take

place in Kosovo, the Pre-Trial Judge recalls that, pursuant to Article 35(3) of the Law,

the police within the SPO has the authority and responsibility to exercise powers given

to Kosovo Police under Kosovo law. Therefore, the SPO may also be considered a

"competent authority" under Rule 49(1) of the Rules capable of executing and serving

orders of the Specialist Chambers, including the Requested Search and Seizure.

Accordingly, the Pre-Trial Judge authorises the SPO, to serve and to execute the

present decision. Where necessary, the SPO may do so in cooperation with the

competent authorities in Kosovo.

V. DISPOSITION

31. For the above reasons, as concerns **Mr Pjetër Shala**, born 17 September 1963, of

[REDACTED] nationality (national number [REDACTED]), with last known

residence at [REDACTED], the Pre-Trial Judge hereby:

10 KSC-BC-2020-04 12 June 2020

a. **AUTHORISES** the Requested Search and Seizure relating to the search of Mr Shala, the location of his arrest and his residence as well as the seizure of any evidence that is believed to have been used in, is connected with or may be relevant to the investigation or future proceedings related to the confirmed charges, as further specified in paragraph 25 of this decision; and

b. AUTHORISES the SPO to disclose, as appropriate and necessary, including to the authorities executing the Requested Search and Seizure, this decision.

32. If the Requested Search and Seizure or any part thereof is to take place in a Third State, the Pre-Trial Judge:

- a. **DIRECTS** the Registrar to transmit this decision to the competent authorities for service and execution;
- b. **DIRECTS** the SPO to consult with the Registrar on the necessary arrangements to be made for the execution and service of this decision;
- c. **REQUESTS** the competent authorities to carry out the Requested Search and Seizure, in the presence of an SPO representative and under the following conditions, where such conditions do not interfere with applicable domestic law:
 - i. The Requested Search and Seizure to be completed, within 14 days from the arrest of Mr Shala;
 - ii. To permit Mr Shala, his counsel and an independent observer to be present during the execution of the Requested Search and Seizure, unless their delay jeopardises the execution of the measure;
 - iii. To permit the SPO representative present to record the time, duration, scope and all other relevant details of the execution of

this decision as well as to prepare an inventory with a detailed description of and information regarding each item seized; and

- iv. The seized material, which may include records of contacts or meetings, diaries, logbooks, decisions, photographs, video and audio recordings, orders, instructions, directives, reports, policies, protocols or regulations, as well as computers, telephones and other devices that could reasonably be expected to contain relevant evidence, to be transferred to and be retained, stored and protected by the SPO;
- d. REQUESTS the competent authorities to raise any technical or logistical difficulties or impediments in the execution of this decision with the SPO representative present during the execution of the Requested Search and Seizure; and
- e. **REQUESTS** the competent authorities to treat the Requested Search and Seizure as confidential and only to disclose the existence of this decision and its contents to those entities and persons whose involvement is strictly necessary for executing the Requested Search and Seizure.
- 33. If the Requested Search and Seizure or any part thereof is to take place in Kosovo, the Pre-Trial Judge **AUTHORISES** the SPO to serve and to execute this decision pursuant to paragraphs 24-25 and 30 mentioned above and in accordance with Rule 39 of the Rules.
- 34. Irrespective of where the Requested Search and Seizure or any part thereof takes place, the Pre-Trial Judge:
 - a. **ORDERS** the SPO to report on the execution of the Requested Search and Seizure within 14 days of its completion or within 14 days of the lapse of the 14 day timeframe provided for its execution, whichever is earlier, in accordance with paragraph 26 of this decision;

- b. **ORDERS** the SPO to store, retain and protect the seized material and to report on the procedure and precautions for the storage and protection, in accordance with paragraph 27 of this decision; and
- c. **ORDERS** the SPO to review the relevance of the seized material within four-months from the execution of the Requested Search and Seizure and to retain, return or destroy the seized material as prescribed by Rule 33 of the Rules, in accordance with paragraph 28 of this decision.

Judge Nicolas Guillou

Pre-Trial Judge

Dated this Friday, 12 June 2020 At The Hague, the Netherlands.